

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,678	03/18/2004	Pekka Ketola	915-005.099	8566
4955 7590 00002000 WARE FRESOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, PO BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER	
			ALVESTEFFER, STEPHEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/805.678 KETOLA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephen Alvesteffer 2175 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.5-16.18-30 and 33-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-16,18-30 and 33-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed November 24, 2008.

Claims 1, 15, 30, and 39 are amended. Claims 4, 17, 31, and 32 are cancelled. Claims 1, 15, 30, and 39 are independent. Claims 1-3, 5-16, 18-30, and 33-39 remain pending.

### Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 8, "traced and recorder items" should be corrected to –traced and recorded items—. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, 18-30, 33, 34, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson. United States Patent 6.005.928.

Regarding claim 1, Johnson teaches a method for transferring data from a source application to a target application, the method comprising:

tracing the latest operation relating to the source application automatically right after said operation has occurred (see Johnson column 1 line 54 through column 2 line

Art Unit: 2175

7; "The history of distributions, including phone calls, phone mail, pager notifications, fax jobs, and print jobs, or the like, is saved in first-in-first-out order"),

extracting at least one item from said operation (see Johnson column 3 lines 37-45; "As phone calls are received or a voice mail message is recorded, the caller ID number is captured, via PBX 114 signals, ANI, or the like. For calls that complete successfully, the caller ID is used by the phone system manager 144 as a key to access data within the AB 120 and the corresponding person's name and addressing information is retrieved"),

recording said item automatically into a list of traced and recorded items in a file, said list comprising traced and recorded items from various source applications (see Johnson columns 1-3; where the Automatic Addressing Database (AAD) of Johnson is equivalent to applicants' the list of traced and recorded items in a file, and where "distributions" of Johnson are traced and recorded from various source applications).

calling said file by the target application (see Johnson Abstract; "The system also permits the recipient to paste information about the sender directly into arbitrary applications on his computer"),

selecting and pasting said one or more items to said target application (see Johnson column 4 line 54 through column 5 line 5; "the present invention allows a user to automatically retrieve address book data (e.g., addressing information) into an application running on the user's computer with the use of a graphical user interface (GUI), or hot keys. For example, assume the user has recently received a telephone call. The user can move the cursor on screen and paste the name of that recent caller,

Art Unit: 2175

paste the caller's E-mail ID, paste the caller's phone number, paste the caller's fax number, paste the caller's pager number, or paste the caller's LAN address directly into a file, or application, that is open on the user's computer").

Regarding claim 2, Johnson teaches that the item is selected from the file by means of a clipboard (see Johnson column 5 lines 1-5; "the information associated with the caller can be inserted into any application on the user's computer that supports conventional cut and paste clipboard functions").

Regarding claim 3, Johnson teaches that more than one operations are traced and recorded (see Johnson column 3 lines 51-60; "The user will also specify an AAD depth N for maintaining a historical collection of addressing information associated with received distributions. The last N distributions are historically collected").

Regarding claim 5, Johnson teaches that the file is a log file (see Johnson column 1 line 54 through column 2 line 7; "The history of distributions, including phone calls, phone mail, pager notifications, fax jobs, and print jobs, or the like, is saved in first-in-first-out order", where the saved history of distributions is equivalent to a log file).

Regarding claim 6, Johnson teaches that at least one of the following operations: handling, receiving, sending, downloading, creating, deleting, is traced (see Johnson column 1 line 54 through column 2 line 7; "The history of distributions, including phone calls, phone mail, pager notifications, fax jobs, and print jobs, or the like, is saved in first-in-first-out order").

Regarding claim 7, Johnson teaches that said item is a content of the operation or a property of the operation (see Johnson column 4 lines 6-12; "The E-mail manager

Art Unit: 2175

132 inserts entries into the AAD 122 based on received E-mail distributions in an E-mail application. The source address is captured and used as a key to access data within the AB 120 and the corresponding person's name and addressing information is retrieved").

Regarding claim 8, Johnson teaches that the source application and the target application are located within one application program (for example, addressing an email in response to a recently received e-mail. see Johnson column 1 lines 35-45).

Regarding claim 9, Johnson teaches that the source application and the target application are located in different application programs (for example, paging someone in response to a recently received e-mail. see Johnson column 1 lines 35-45).

Regarding claim 10, Johnson teaches that the source application and the target application are located in different devices (for example, a paging system contacting a the computer system. See Johnson Figure 1).

Regarding claim 11, Johnson teaches that said file is shared between application programs of a computing device (see Johnson column 3 lines 20-28; "the AAD 122 is preferably a single repository that is accessed from various components of the present invention").

Regarding claim 12, Johnson teaches that a media type of the item is identified (see Johnson column 5 lines 54-67; "A "services to track" (SVCS2TRK) field 218 includes a bit mask corresponding to those distributions that the particular user configured to be tracked for automatic distribution addressing").

Regarding claim 13, Johnson teaches that said media type belongs to one of the following groups: image, audio, video, text, uniform resource location, phonebook

Art Unit: 2175

entry, music, calendar event, wallet and error message (see Johnson column 5 lines 54-67; "the distributions to track include: pager bit, printed bit, an fax bit, a E-mail bit, and phone call/mail").

Regarding claim 14, Johnson teaches that said media type is used for classifying the item (see Johnson column 5 lines 54-67; "A "services to track" (SVCS2TRK) field 218 includes a bit mask corresponding to those distributions that the particular user configured to be tracked for automatic distribution addressing").

Claims 15, 16, and 18-26 recite a device with substantially the same limitations as the method of claims 1-3 and 5-14. Therefore, the claims are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 27, Johnson teaches that the device is further configured for manual copying (see Johnson column 7 lines 7-18; "A user can actually drag and drop from the window or copy an entry from that window to a clipboard").

Regarding claim 28, Johnson teaches that the device is further configured for mobile communication (see Johnson column 3 lines 61-67; "Participating services are applications that can execute as the result of a desktop computer, a laptop computer, or a PDA (personal data assistant).").

Claim 29 recites a system for implementing the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 29 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claims 30 and 33 recite a computer program product with substantially the same limitations as the method of claim 1. Therefore, the claims are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2175

Regarding claim 34, that a media type of said item belongs to one of the following groups: image, audio, video (see Johnson column 1 line 54 through column 2 line 7; "The history of distributions, including phone calls, phone mail, pager notifications, fax jobs, and print jobs, or the like, is saved in first-in-first-out order").

Regarding claim 37, Johnson teaches that said file comprises items of different media types (see Johnson column 1 line 54 through column 2 line 7; "The history of distributions, including phone calls, phone mail, pager notifications, fax jobs, and print jobs, or the like, is saved in first-in-first-out order").

Regarding claim 38, Johnson teaches that said operation is a phone call and said item is a phone number; or said operation is a camera shot and said item is an image; or said operation is a site downloaded from a network and said item is the URL of the site (see Johnson column 3 lines 37-46; "As phone calls are received or a voice mail message is recorded, the caller ID number is captured, via PBX 114 signals, ANI, or the like").

Claim 39 recites a device having substantially the same limitations as the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 39 is rejected under the same rationale.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2175

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (6,005,928) *supra* and Goh et al. (hereinafter Goh), United States Patent Application 2006/0155811.

Regarding claim 35, Johnson teaches every limitation of claim 35 except that said target application is a multimedia messaging service message. Goh teaches a mobile device with clipboard features and makes use of Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) for viewing images (see Goh paragraph [0053]; "The example embodiment further comprises file conversion techniques for the user to convert an attached file in a received email to an image file format or text format. For example, the resultant image file can be viewed via Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS), while the resultant text can be viewed via WAP"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide MMS messaging support as taught by

Art Unit: 2175

Goh in the invention taught by Johnson as a design choice to support the newer multimedia MMS format for messaging.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (6,005,928) *supra* and Kumar, United States Patent Application 2005/0028008.

Regarding claim 36, Johnson teaches every limitation of claim 36 except that the method further comprises presenting said item in a list utilizing a check-box feature for selecting. Kumar teaches utilizing a check-box feature for selecting files to paste from a clipboard (see Kumar paragraph [0114]; "Paste File(s) from Clipboard: This function allows the user to paste or insert all the selected files (via checkbox) to the selected Folder"). Both Kumar and Johnson utilize a clipboard for pasting multiple items. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a check-box feature for selecting files to paste as taught by Kumar in the invention of Johnson to provide a standard way of selecting several items at once.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Johnson (US 6,005,928) *supra* is believed to anticipate every limitation of the independent claims. Johnson teaches automatic addressing of communications based on incoming communications.

Art Unit: 2175

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Alvesteffer whose telephone number is (571)270-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on (571)272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen Alvesteffer Examiner Art Unit 2175

/S. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2175

> /William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175